New direct oral anticoagulants – current therapeutic options and treatment recommendations for bleeding complications
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Summary
To date, clinical studies show that the incidence of spontaneous bleeding with new direct oral anticoagulants (DOAs) is comparable to that of established anticoagulants. However, unlike vitamin K antagonists, there are currently no clinically available antidotes or approved reversal agents for new DOAs. Restoring normal coagulation is important in many cases, such as emergency surgeries, serious bleedings, or anticoagulant overdosing. Attempts have been made to restore normal coagulation after treatment with new DOAs using compounds such as recombinant activated factor VII (rFVIIa), prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC), or FEIBA (factor eight inhibitor bypassing activity). Limited pre-clinical data and even less clinical evidence are available on the usefulness of these methods in restoring normal coagulation for the emergency management of critical bleeding episodes. Evaluating the utility of DOAs is further complicated by the fact that it is unknown how predictive established test systems are of the bleeding risks. Clinical practice requires further evaluation of the emergency management options for the new DOAs to define the agents and the doses that are most useful. Furthermore, patients receiving long-term treatment with a DOA are likely to undergo elective surgery at some point, and there is lack of evidence regarding perioperative treatment regimens under such conditions. This review summarises potential bleeding management options and available data on the new DOAs.
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Prophylaxis of thromboembolic events – history and current therapeutic options
For almost a century, unfractionated heparins (UFH), low-molecular-weight heparins (LMWH) and vitamin K antagonists (VKA) constituted the main medical armamentarium for anticoagulation of patients at risk of thromboembolic events (1), requiring regular monitoring of the coagulation status to minimise the risk of major bleedings.

Oral drugs are needed for chronic anticoagulation and until recently, VKAs have been the only oral alternative available. The long half-life of VKAs (2) coupled with their significant food interactions can create bleeding problems in interventional settings and have limited their use (3–5). Little advancement has been made in the last few decades in developing new oral anticoagulants with the newest entries into the anticoagulation market being oral direct thrombin inhibitors (DTIs) and oral factor (F)Xa inhibitors.

The increasing need for anticoagulation
The clinical manifestations of arterial and venous thromboembolism such as stroke, pulmonary embolism (PE), venous thromboembolism (VTE) and acute coronary syndromes (ACS), are leading causes of mortality in the Western world (6, 7). The ageing of the population leads to a rising prevalence of diseases requiring chronic anticoagulation, like atrial fibrillation (AF), artificial heart valves and malignant diseases. Stroke prevention in patients with AF is the main indication for oral anticoagulants (8). AF occurs in 1–2% of the general population and its prevalence is estimated to double by 2025 (9). AF patients have a five-fold higher risk of stroke, which is often fatal or disabling (2).

Orthopaedic surgeries, such as hip or knee replacement, are major risk factors for developing PE or VTE. With an increasing number of hip and knee surgeries (>1 million in the USA in 2010 [10]), and an incidence of 40–60% for VTE in untreated patients, there is a significant need for anticoagulation, normally carried out with parenteral drugs such as UFH and LMWH.
One primary reason for the limited use of oral anticoagulants like VKAs in elderly populations is the physician’s concern about anticoagulant-related bleeding, especially intra-cranial haemorrhage (8).

**Bleeding events as the major drawbacks for established anticoagulants**

For all available anticoagulants, bleeding is the most important adverse event in any type of patient. Co-morbidities, co-medications, age and history of bleeding are the main risk determinants for a given patient. The reported bleeding risk under VKA treatment is variable and high. The recent RE-LY study showed a rate of 3.4% major bleedings per year for warfarin (11), and rates up to 6.5 for major bleedings have been reported (12, 13). For UFH and LMWH, the incidence of major bleeding during the prevention of VTE is between 1.6% and 6% of patients/year (14). Even an antithrombotic agent that is considered safe (ASA; acetylsalicylic acid or aspirin) has an annual risk of major haemorrhage of 1.6% at a 75 mg dose (15). The risk to experience major bleeding is higher for patients who received VKAs than ASA (2.2 vs. 1.3 events per 100 patient-years; hazard ratio [HR], 1.71; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.21–2.41) (16).

Major bleeding is defined according to the ISTH (International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis) recommendations as (17):
- fatal bleeding or
- reduction in haemoglobin level of at least 20 g per litre or
- transfusion of at least two units of blood or
- symptomatic bleeding in a critical area or organ.

Life-threatening bleeding is a subcategory of major bleeding and consists of fatal bleeding, symptomatic intracranial bleeding, bleeding with a decrease in haemoglobin level of at least 50 g per litre, or bleeding requiring transfusion of at least four units of blood. These definitions have been used in most recent clinical studies, such as the RE-LY study (18). However, it must be emphasised that the definition of major bleeding is still controversial (19).

Furthermore, different definitions for major bleeding have been used in studies involving dabigatran, rivaroxaban and apixaban which complicates the comparison of major drawbacks associated with these DOAs.

### Recent development of new anticoagulants

Over the last few years, new oral drugs affecting key factors in the coagulation cascade, including FXa and thrombin, have been developed. They either block the formation of thrombin or directly inhibit its activity to regulate blood coagulation and induce platelet aggregation. These new modes of action should be effective for indications that are primarily based on fibrin formation, such as VTE, as well as in settings that are dominated by platelet aggregation, such as arterial thrombotic events. The new direct oral anticoagulants (DOAs) that are currently available are dabigatran (Pradaxa, Boehringer Ingelheim), apixaban (Eliquis, Pfizer, BMS) and rivaroxaban (Xarelto, Bayer, J&J) (20, 21). Another FXa inhibitor, edoxaban (Daichi Sankyo), is in late-stage development in Europe.

These new drugs have the potential to revolutionise therapy, especially in AF. Regulatory agencies have already approved dabigatran for this indication, while apixaban and rivaroxaban are labelled for the prevention of VTE after elective orthopaedic surgery and are awaiting approval for indication in AF (22, 23).

These new DOAs have some theoretical advantages that separate them from warfarin and UFH/LMWH, especially in chronic indications and older patients (22, 24). These include:
- rapid onset of action → no need for bridging
- short half-life → easy control of AC effect
- little to no food-drug interaction → no dietary restrictions
- limited drug-drug interactions → few restrictions for co-medication
- predictability of the AC effect → no need for routine coagulation monitoring.

On the other hand, some of their limitations are:
- higher cost
- no monitoring possible if needed
- no specific antidote.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dabigatran</th>
<th>Apixaban</th>
<th>Edoxaban</th>
<th>Rivaroxaban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target</strong></td>
<td>Thrombin</td>
<td>FXa</td>
<td>FXa</td>
<td>FXa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Half-life (h)</strong></td>
<td>12–17</td>
<td>9–14</td>
<td>9–11</td>
<td>9–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dosing</strong></td>
<td>110 – 150 mg bid</td>
<td>2.5 – 5 mg bid</td>
<td>15–30 mg od (Japan only to date)</td>
<td>10 – 30 mg od</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peak plasma conc.</strong></td>
<td>2–3 h</td>
<td>1–3 h</td>
<td>1–3 h</td>
<td>2–4 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Renal elimination</strong></td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metabolism</strong></td>
<td>Potent P-gp inducers / inhibitors</td>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coagulation monitoring</strong></td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>To be determined</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of new DOAs (modified from [33]).
Characteristics of new DOAs

It is hoped that the new DOAs will change the therapeutic options for anticoagulation because they do not need to be monitored and they show a superior more favourable safety profile with respect to risk of bleeding.

Most anticoagulants require the exclusion of renally impaired patients or dose adjustment of the anticoagulant; UFH is an exception and has no limitations on usage in renally impaired patients.

Rivaroxaban, apixaban, edoxaban and dabigatran have short half-lives, but their renal elimination requires caution and dose adjustment in renally impaired patients, particularly when an exposure-increasing drug-drug interaction cannot be excluded (25–27).

In late 2011, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued a safety review of post-market reports of serious bleeding events with dabigatran, especially in renally impaired patients (28). Dabigatran is 80% renally excreted and its use is contraindicated in patients with severely impaired renal function (CrCl<30 ml/minute [min]). The FXa inhibitor rivaroxaban showed efficacy and safety in AF patients that was similar to warfarin in the ROCKET-AF trial (29) and has lower renal elimination (66%). Renal function needs to be monitored in patients with CrCl<30 ml/min, and dose adjustment might be necessary (30).

The APPRAISE-2 trial had to prematurely stop when the FXa inhibitor apixaban in ACS patients showed an increased incidence of bleeding (31). Although increased bleeding in renally impaired patients has not been observed, apixaban should only be used under tight renal function control and dose adjustment in patients with CrCl<30 ml/min (32).

Renal function tests may not be routinely used in DOA patients, which may lead to increased bleeding incidents.

Several years ago, the development of ximelagatran, a first-generation direct thrombin inhibitor (DTI), stopped due to liver toxicity (36). The FXa inhibitor rivaroxaban also showed transient increases in plasma levels of liver enzymes, such as alanine aminotransferase, in a small numbers of subjects, but similar increases were also observed in those receiving placebo (37). Because the majority of clinical studies using the new DOAs have been conducted in patients without liver dysfunction, liver toxicity cannot be excluded as an issue for these new drugs, so routine control of liver function is advised. The low plasma protein binding of dabigatran (Table 1) indicates that, in the case of a severe bleeding event, the drug could be removed via dialysis or haemofiltration, quickly restoring normal coagulation.

Bleeding risk with new DOAs

The intensity of anticoagulant therapy is strongly correlated with bleeding risk. For example, if the target international normalised ratio (INR) range for warfarin is between 2 and 3, these patients have less than half the incidence of major bleeding when compared with the target INR >3 (38).

The new oral DOAs are speculated to have a more wide therapeutic window than warfarin, leading to a lower incidence of major bleeding. However, the results of large-scale trials indicate that bleeding remains a concern even with the new DOAs (18, 34) (Table 2). Large studies with rivaroxaban, edoxaban and dabigatran showed that these drugs have incidences of severe bleeding comparable to those of enoxaparin and warfarin.

Several attempts have been made to generate risk schemas for bleeding in patients on anticoagulants. These risk prediction rules show wide differences in risk factors and provide little help to physicians in identifying individual risk (8).

The number of bleeding events is rising due to the ageing of the population and the increasing need for interventional treatment. Although age is considered an independent risk factor for bleeding under anticoagulant therapy, a prospective investigation in close to 800 elderly AF patients >80 years of age showed that bleeding complications can be kept acceptably low at 2.5 x 100 patient-years through careful management and tight control of renal and liver function (43).

The shorter half-life of the new DOAs might facilitate the management of bleeding events and the control of anticoagulation during interventions or emergency situations. If bleeding still occurs, the lack of specific antidotes limits the therapeutic options (44).

Table 2: Rates of major bleeding complications under different anticoagulant therapies.

| Table 2: Rates of major bleeding complications under different anticoagulant therapies. |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Indication                                      | Study          | Severe bleeding incidence | Reference   |
| VTE prevention after hip replacement            | RECORD 1       | Rivaroxaban 10 mg od: 0.3% | [29,30]     |
|                                              |                | Enoxaparin 40 mg od: 0.1% |              |
| VTE prevention after knee replacement           | RECORD 3       | Rivaroxaban 10 mg od: 0.6% | [29,30]     |
|                                              |                | Enoxaparin 40 mg od: 0.5% |              |
| VTE prevention after hip or knee replacement    | RE-NOVATE      | Dabigatran 150 mg od 1.3% | [34,39]     |
|                                              | RE-MODEL       | Enoxaparin 40 mg od 1.3% |              |
| Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation        | RE-LY          | Dabigatran 150 mg bd: 3.1% | [34,40]     |
|                                              |                | Warfarin od: 3.4% |              |
| Secondary prevention of ACS                    | APPRAISE-2     | Apixaban 5 mg bd: 1.3% | [41]         |
|                                              |                | Placebo: 0.5% |              |
| Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation        | ARISTOTLE      | Apixaban 5 mg bd: 2.13% | [42]         |
|                                              |                | Warfarin: 3.09% |              |
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Due to the highly selective study populations used during the development of the new DOAs, the absolute bleeding risk might be underestimated and can only be measured after exposure of the drugs to larger populations. A registry approach would be very helpful in defining the actual bleeding risk for the new drug classes.

Coagulation tests and the new DOAs

Dabigatran and rivaroxaban alter available coagulation tests, but coagulation test measurements are poorly predictive of the plasma concentrations of these drugs (45).

The new DOAs impair most established tests and are thus unsuitable for monitoring bleeding risk. In a study with orthopaedic surgery patients, rivaroxaban significantly prolonged PT and aPTT clotting times up to 1.4 fold after 2 hours (h) of drug administration. The assays showed high variability in sensitivity that was dependent on the reagents used (46).

New monitoring tests are being developed and available tests, such as the ecarin clotting time (ECT), are being tested for the new DOAs. ECT assesses thrombin activity in plasma and seems to be predictive of dabigatran activity (48), as it prolongs rapidly at low dabigatran levels, and normal ECT indicates no or very low drug levels. Therefore, ECT can be used to estimate dabigatran drug levels in a patient, but the laboratory results have not been shown to correlate with bleeding risk (26). Another well-known test, thrombin time (TT), is very sensitive to dabigatran, and a prolonged TT can demonstrate recent intake of the drug. According to a recent survey, PTT could be used for monitoring dabigatran and to screen for overdoses (47). However, more sensitive tests are ECT and HTI (Hemoclot thrombin inhibitor), and HTI may be considered the gold standard for the monitoring of dabigatran due to its linear correlation at all doses (47) (Table 3).

Rivaroxaban has been shown to be correlated with the neoplasmin-induced prothrombin time (PT), but there are no clinical studies showing the correlation between test results and efficacy or safety (48). No interactions with rivaroxaban were found with an antithrombin (AT) assay dependent on thrombin activity, but AT levels dependent on FXa activity were significantly increased. Thus, rivaroxaban influences FXa-dependent routine coagulation assays in a time-dependent manner (46). Chromogenic assays can also be used to show dose-dependent relationships between anti-FXa activity and rivaroxaban concentration, using rivaroxaban as a calibrator (49). Calibrated anti-FXa assays could serve as an indicator of drug presence and levels, which could help to monitor compliance, but may have no meaning in prediction of bleeding.

Potential reversal agents for the new DOAs

Potential agents for the reversal of the anticoagulation effect of new DOAs were established for the treatment of VKA-induced bleedings and consist of prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC), an activated prothrombin complex concentrate (APCC, FEIBA) and recombinant activated FVII (rFVIIa). Treatment with non-specific antagonists (e.g. PCC and rFVIIa) is proposed in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SPC) for rivaroxaban and apixaban as well as in several publications (50, 51), but the database is fragmentary.

PCC can be divided into “4-factor-concentrates” containing adequate amounts of vitamin K-dependent factors II, VII, IX and X and “3-factor-concentrates” containing significantly lower amounts of FVII.

Limited data are available, but some studies have shown promising results using PCC, FEIBA or rFVIIa (Table 4). To clarify the reversal potency of available drugs, some studies used supraphysiologival doses of DOAs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>DOA</th>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orthopaedic surgery patients</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban 10 mg od</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Up to 1.4 fold prolonged</td>
<td>Mani [46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aPTT</td>
<td>Up to 1.4 fold prolonged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>No effect, but AT levels significantly increased</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FibC</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dFIB</td>
<td>Effect reagent dependent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human plasma</td>
<td>Dabigatran 4.7 ng/ml – 943.0 ng/ml</td>
<td>aPTT</td>
<td>Prolongation</td>
<td>Douxfils [47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>Prolongation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Prolongation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HTI</td>
<td>Prolongation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FibC</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AT: antithrombin, aPTT: activated partial thromboplastin time, FibC: fibrinogen levels by Clauss, dFIB: derived fibrinogen, TT: thrombin time, PT: prothrombin time, HTI: Hemoclot thrombin inhibitor.

Table 3: Influence of DOAs on ex vivo coagulation tests.
Reversal of the anticoagulation effects of DOAs by PCC, FEIBA and fresh frozen plasma

PCC in particular has been studied to reverse the anticoagulant effects of DOAs because it contains high concentrations of the coagulation factors II, VII, IX and X, thus stimulating thrombin formation and bypassing the anticoagulant effect of both thrombin and FXa antagonists.

Unfortunately, human data are sparse; to date, only one clinical study has been published. This recent trial in healthy volunteers shows the reversibility of rivaroxaban’s anticoagulant activity using PCC. In this randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, 12 healthy male volunteers received rivaroxaban 20 mg twice daily (n=6) or dabigatran 150 mg twice daily (n=6) for 2.5 days, followed by either a single bolus of 50 IU/kg PCC (Cofact) or a similar volume of saline. When based on PT and ETP tests, the

### Table 4: Different methods for the reversal of anticoagulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>DOA</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HV</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>50 U/kg</td>
<td>rivaroxaban</td>
<td>PT, ETP</td>
<td>Complete reversal</td>
<td>Eerenberg [52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>50 U/kg</td>
<td>dabigatran</td>
<td>PT, ECT, TT</td>
<td>No reversal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rats</td>
<td>50 U/kg</td>
<td>rivaroxaban</td>
<td>BT, TAT, PT</td>
<td>Complete reversal of TAT and</td>
<td>Perzborn [51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rabbits</td>
<td>FEIBA</td>
<td>dabigatran 1 µmol/kg bolus + 0.5 µ mol/kg/h infusion for 25 min</td>
<td>PTT, BT</td>
<td>BT reversal</td>
<td>Van Ryn [53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>100 U/kg</td>
<td>dabigatran 4.5 and 9 mg/kg</td>
<td>TVBT, ECT, hae-matoma growth</td>
<td>Reduced haematoma growth</td>
<td>Zhou [54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Murine FFP</td>
<td>200 µl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human plasma</td>
<td>rFVIIa</td>
<td>8 mg/kg</td>
<td>PT aPTT anti-Xa</td>
<td>Significant and rapid reversal on all tests</td>
<td>Halim [55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rFVIIa 0.8 and 1.8 µg/ml</td>
<td>supertherapeutic concentrations 500 and 1,000 ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEIBA</td>
<td>0.75 and 1.4 U/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rabbits</td>
<td>rFVIIa</td>
<td>single bolus of 150 µg/kg rivaroxaban. Plasma levels close to human therapeutic dose</td>
<td>Ear BT Hepatosplenic blood loss aPTT</td>
<td>Decreased ear BT, no effect on blood loss, aPTT decreased</td>
<td>Godier [56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human plasma</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>0.15, 0.5, 1.5 U/ml</td>
<td>edoxaban 150 – 300 ng/ml (therapeutic to supertherapeutic doses)</td>
<td>PT Concentration dependent shortening of PT, most effect by rFVIIa</td>
<td>Fukuda [57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEIBA</td>
<td>0.15, 0.5, 1.5 U/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rFVIIa 100,300, 1000 ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rats</td>
<td>FEIBA</td>
<td>edoxaban 1 mg/kg/h</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Significant reversal of prolonged BT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rFVIIa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reversal of the anticoagulant effect of DOAs by rFVIIa

Most studies show that rFVIIa is not as effective as PCC at reversing the anticoagulant effect of DOAs. In a study by Godier et al., rFVIIa significantly reversed ear immersion bleeding time (p < 0.02) but failed to reduce haepatosplenic blood loss that was induced by rivaroxaban overdose. In addition, some clinical or laboratory parameters were partially corrected (56). rFVIIa normalised aPTT and corrected several thrombelastographic parameters by decreasing lag time, as previously demonstrated in vitro with fondaparinux. Peak height and endogenous thrombin potential were not significantly modified. In a study by Zhou et al., the administration of rFVIIa was ineffective in reversing the anticoagulant effect of dabigatran (54).

The results of a recently published study in a rabbit model using rivaroxaban (56) demonstrated that neither rFVIIa nor PCC fully reversed the bleeding induced by rivaroxaban overdose. PCC and rFVIIa corrected several laboratory parameters but was ineffective in reducing rivaroxaban-induced bleeding in vivo. The authors concluded that commonly used laboratory assays failed to predict the in vivo effects of reversal agents, suggesting that more robust endpoints are needed to evaluate potential antidotes.

**Treatment recommendations for DOA-associated bleeding complications during scheduled surgery**

Regardless of the short half-life of DOAs, immediate reversal of the anticoagulant effect is mandatory in case of severe bleeding or emergency surgery.

Based on the limited published preclinical and clinical studies and the pharmacokinetic data of DOAs, some general proposals regarding the treatment of new DOA-associated bleeding events will be discussed in the following section.

Emergency surgery should be delayed for as long as possible (at least 1 or 2 elimination half-lives of the drug), provided that the delay does not imply a risk for the patient. Because dabigatran and rivaroxaban predominantly undergo renal excretion, adequate renal function must be maintained for both drugs. Treatment with the DOA should be discontinued immediately if non-surgical major bleeds occur.

For life-threatening bleeding under dabigatran treatment, haemodialysis and haemofiltration could be options because dabigatran is dialyzable due to its relatively low (35%) plasma protein binding (34, 53, 58). Dialysis is not suitable for rivaroxaban because of its high protein binding of 95% (30).

The application of non-specific antagonists (e.g. PCC and rFVIIa) can be considered after an individual benefit-risk assessment. It must be emphasised that the safety and efficacy of PCC and rFVIIa have not been established in the target population. The arterial risk of rFVIIa in the non-haemophilic population (59) is of concern for elderly patients who frequently suffer from cardiovascular diseases. This population demonstrated the highest risk when the rates of thromboembolic events in 35 rFVIIa placebo-controlled trials in off-label indications were analysed (59). By comparison, the arterial risk of PCC in the target population has not been studied as thoroughly. A venous risk has not been shown in clinical trials, but these trials excluded patients with a history of VTE for whom a DOA could be prescribed. In addition, the dosage

**Table 5: How to restore coagulation when bleeding occurs.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Therapeutic options (not validated by large-scale trials)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rivaroxaban</td>
<td>Stop treatment with DOA, PCC, FEIBA, rFVIIa</td>
<td>[51,52,56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edoxaban</td>
<td>Stop treatment with DOA, PCC, FEIBA, rFVIIa</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dabigatran</td>
<td>Stop treatment with DOA, Dialysis, PCC, rFVIIa</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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with the best risk-benefit ratio is not known. If used in populations at high risk of arterial thrombosis, it should be considered that dabigatran also is suspected to increase the arterial risk when compared to different anticoagulants (60).

For scheduled surgeries, a treatment regimen is based primarily on the natural clearance of the anticoagulant after preoperative discontinuation of treatment. The therapy-free interval should be estimated taking into account the anticipated bleeding risk (61). The assessment of bleeding risk could be based on risk estimates documented for treatment with VKAs (62) because the future indications for treatment with DOAs are the same.

For procedures with low anticipated bleeding risk that was non-critical in its location and/or easily controlled by simple mechanical haemostasis, the last administration of the DOA should be given 24 h (equivalent to 2–3 mean half-lives) before, and resumed 24 h after, the procedure.

For invasive procedures with moderate or high bleeding risk in patients receiving long-term anticoagulant treatment with a DOA, adequate discontinuation of treatment using the database of average pharmacokinetic variables could be proposed at about 48 h (equivalent to approximately 5 mean half-lives), as drugs are typically almost completely eliminated after this period in the majority of patients.

However, 48 h discontinuation of DOA treatment may be insufficient because covariates such as liver insufficiency, renal insufficiency, drug-drug interactions, and genetic polymorphisms may significantly extend the elimination half-life of the drugs.

Thus, in the absence of a validated antagonist and any clinical experience, discontinuation of treatment five days prior to a scheduled procedure scheduled is recommended, especially for patients with impaired renal or liver function (61). Restarting DOA treatment is proposed at 48 h (day +2) after surgery. For prophylactic dosing, DOA can be restarted 6–12 h after surgery.

For patients at moderate risk of thrombosis, preoperative bridging with heparin may be considered. Postoperative DOA treatment or a curative dose of heparin is advised as soon as the bleeding risk is controlled.

For patients at high risk for thrombosis, bridging may be considered by using heparin administered at the curative dose as two subcutaneous injections per day according to the modalities recommended for VKA bridging (62). Treatment with heparin should be initiated 12–24 h after the last dose of the DOA and should be discontinued before surgery. Restarting heparin treatment after surgery is proposed when the risk of postoperative bleeding is considered to be under control. DOA treatment can be restarted 12 h after the last subcutaneous administration of LMWH if the bleeding risk is controlled with certainty. There should be no overlap between treatment with heparin and a DOA, regardless of the dose and the thromboembolic risk for the patient.

In conclusion, there are only limited preclinical data and one human trial available studying the reversal of anticoagulant effect caused by DOA (Table 5). Most studies have highlighted the role of PCC, which contains high doses of FX, in reversing the anticoagulant activity of FXa inhibitors; however, PCC does not seem to efficiently reverse the anticoagulant activity of dabigatran.

thermology, the longer half-time of PCC in comparison to rFVIIa may improve its efficacy. In the absence of efficacious possibilities to restore coagulation under dabigatran, haemodialysis seems to be the first choice. Experience with an increasing number of patients treated with the new DOAs will show whether these drugs can lower bleeding rates. An international registry would greatly help evaluation of the bleeding risk for the new drugs, as well as help analyse the treatment options for bleedings and their follow up.
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