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Summary

Background Stratification for risk of recurrence after a first episode of venous thromboembolism (VTE) would affect the duration of anticoagulant therapy. We aimed to determine the incidence of recurrence of VTE in relation to clinical risk factors and standard laboratory testing for heritable thrombophilic defects.

Methods We established a database to prospectively follow-up a cohort of unselected patients who had had a first episode of objectively proven VTE. We excluded patients with malignant disease and antiphospholipid syndrome. All patients were offered testing for heritable thrombophilia.

Findings At 2 years, the cumulative recurrence rate in 570 patients was 11%. Incidence was lowest after surgery-related VTE (0%) and highest after unprecipitated VTE (19·4%) (p=0·001). 85% of patients were tested for heritable thrombophilic defects. Recurrence rates were not related to presence or absence of laboratory evidence of heritable thrombophilia (hazard ratio 1·50 [95% CI 0·82–2·77]; p=0·187). In patients with a first event that was unprecipitated or was associated with a non-surgical trigger, recurrence rates did not differ in patients with or without thrombophilia (1·34 [0·73–2·46]; p=0·351).

Interpretation In unselected patients who have had a first episode of VTE, testing for heritable thrombophilia does not allow prediction of recurrent VTE in the first 2 years after anticoagulant therapy is stopped. However, assessment of clinical risk factors associated with the first episode of VTE does predict risk of recurrence. Patients with postoperative VTE have a very low rate of recurrence.
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Introduction

After a first episode of venous thromboembolism (VTE), patients are usually treated with oral anticoagulation for between 6 weeks and 6 months. When treatment is stopped, the frequency of recurrence is 12–18% after 2 years. The risk of recurrence is highest soon after the acute episode and it declines with time. Continued treatment with oral anticoagulant therapy will prevent most episodes of recurrence but there is a substantial risk of major bleeding associated with prolonged treatment. In theory, anticoagulant treatment should be continued until the risk outweighs the benefit. However, the optimum duration of treatment is uncertain because the risk of bleeding associated with anticoagulation and the risk of recurrent VTE after stopping treatment are not easily predicted on an individual basis. Acquired risk factors are often identified in patients presenting with VTE, and heritable thrombophilic defects are identified in at least a third of patients with acute VTE. In this prospective cohort study, we aimed to determine the risk of recurrence in relation to clinical risk factors and standard laboratory testing for thrombophilic defects after a first episode of VTE.

Methods

Patients

Since August, 1997, all patients referred for oral anticoagulant therapy at Addenbrooke’s Hospital, Cambridge, UK, after a first episode of objectively confirmed VTE have been registered on a clinical outcome audit database. The database was registered with the hospital Clinical Audit and Effectiveness Unit. Thrombophilia testing was offered to all patients and 85% gave informed consent to have this test.

We excluded patients with antiphospholipid activity and those with malignant disease from analyses, although they were given appropriate treatment. We also excluded patients with mesenteric vein thrombosis or cerebral vein thrombosis because the natural history might be different to limb deep vein thrombosis (DVT), and because in many patients, lifelong anticoagulant treatment is considered to be justified in view of potential risks associated with recurrent events.

At the time of registration, we recorded clinical risk factors and categorised patients into four groups: those who had had surgery in the previous 6 weeks (group A); women with pregnancy-associated VTE, including postpartum events up to 2 months after delivery (group B); patients with unprecipitated venous thromboembolism in whom there was no identifiable clinical risk factor (group C); and patients with non-surgical risk factors for VTE (group D). Some patients in group D had absolute risk factors such as a fracture or application of a plaster cast, oestrogen-containing oral contraceptive use, while others had unquantifiable risk factors such as immobilisation, a non-specific transient illness, or a history of travel.
Anticoagulant therapy, were recorded as having recurrent or extended clot, which resulted in reintroduction of the thrombophilia tests. Only patients with a new episode of recurrent venous thromboembolism after 2 years and we recorded all objectively diagnosed warfarin.

We measured concentrations of natural anticoagulants and lupus anticoagulant activity using methods and lupus anticoagulant activity using methods and Cox proportional hazards modelling. Hazard ratios (HR) were not calculated when no events were recorded. Based on a 30% frequency of thrombophilic defects in unselected patients presenting with a first episode of VTE, we calculated that 500 patients would be needed to allow detection of a 10% increased VTE rate in patients with thrombophilia at α=0.05 (one-tailed) and 90% power when the event rate in patients without thromophilia was 10% (HR 2·3) and 80% power when it was 15% (1·9).

### Results

We included 781 patients who registered at Addenbrooke’s Hospital, Cambridge, between August, 1997, and January, 2002. 211 patients were excluded from further analysis either because of malignant disease at registration or follow-up (118), antiphospholipid syndrome (47), cerebral vein thrombosis (six), continued anticoagulant therapy (22), death (14), or proven recurrent symptomatic VTE before completing anticoagulant therapy (four). Thus, there were 570 patients in the final analyses—86 in group A, 12 in group B, 193 in group C, and 279 in group D.

Median age in this cohort was 67 years (range 19–100 years) and 251 (44%) were men. The distribution of the initial thrombotic events in the cohort of 570 patients was symptomatic pulmonary embolus 165 (29%), proximal leg vein 323 (57%), calf vein...
Figure 1: Cumulative proportions of recurrent thrombosis after cessation of anticoagulant therapy

Data for group B are not included because it was a small group with no recurrences.

68 (12%), arm vein 14 (3%). 26 patients died during follow-up without recurrence of VTE, and data were censored at the time of death. Specific causes of death were not recorded, only whether or not death was attributable to pulmonary embolus. We did not record autopsy rates and findings, other than pulmonary embolus.

487 (85%) patients were genotyped for factor V Leiden and 476 (83%) of these were also genotyped for F2G20210A. 485 (85%) had concentrations of antithrombin measured, 431 (76%) protein C, and 428 (75%) free protein S. 126 (22%) patients had an abnormal result that was confirmed on separate samples in cases of low concentrations of a natural anticoagulant. Table 1 shows the distribution of heritable thrombophilic defects identified by laboratory testing in each clinical group.

The mean duration of anticoagulation following the first episode of VTE was 24 weeks in group A, 27 weeks in group B, 26 weeks in group C, and 24 weeks in group D. After completion of anticoagulant therapy, the cumulative recurrence rate at 2 years was 11% (95% CI 7.9–13.7%), by Kaplan Meier analysis. There was no VTE recurrence in group A or group B. 32 (17%) of patients in group C had VTE recurrence, as did 21 (8%) in group D. The cumulative 2-year recurrence rate for group C was 19.4% (95% CI 13.26%) and 8.8% (5.1–12.5%) for group D.

Figure 1 shows rates of VTE recurrence after cessation of anticoagulant therapy by clinical group. The recurrence rate for group A was significantly lower than that for groups C (logrank $\chi^2=15.32; p<0.0001$) and D (logrank $\chi^2=6.8; p=0.009$). The recurrence rate for group C was higher than for group D (logrank $\chi^2=8.11; HR 2.20 [95\% CI 1.26–3.84]; p=0.004$). The number of patients in group B was too small for a meaningful analysis.

Figure 2 shows the rate of recurrent VTE in the total cohort in relation to presence or absence of laboratory evidence of a heritable thrombophilic defect. There was no evidence of a difference between patients with or without thrombophilia (logrank $\chi^2=1.74; HR 1.50 [95\% CI 0.82–2.77]; p=0.187$). When we did an analysis for the presence or absence of the factor V Leiden mutation, there was no evidence of a significant difference (logrank $\chi^2=0.66; HR 1.35 [0.65–2.80]; p=0.417$). Likewise, when analysis was done to test for the presence or absence of the F2G20210A mutation, we noted no evidence of a difference (logrank $\chi^2=0.87; HR 1.34 [0.73–2.46]; p=0.351$).

Because there was a low incidence of recurrence in groups A and B, we assessed the effect of thrombophilia after exclusion of these patients. However, even after this exclusion, we noted no evidence of a difference in recurrence rates between patients with or without thrombophilia in the 472 patients in groups C and D (logrank $\chi^2=0.87; HR 1.34 [0.73–2.46]; p=0.351$).

Two of eight patients with low antithrombin had a recurrence (relative risk [RR] 2.59, [95% CI 0.8–8.8]); two had combined defects, one with factor V Leiden heterozygosity and one with a low concentration of protein S—neither of these patients suffered a recurrence during the follow-up period.

One of five patients with low protein C had a recurrence (RR 1.84, [0.3–10.8]), and this person had a combined defect with heterozygosity for factor V Leiden (RR 1.0, [0.3–3.0]). Five patients without recurrence had combined defects. Table 2 shows details of the seven patients who had low concentrations of natural anticoagulant and a recurrent VTE.

Discussion

We have shown three important findings that could have implications for clinical practice. First, patients with postoperative VTE have a very low risk of recurrence and a low incidence of thrombophilic defects. Second, patients with unprecipitated VTE have a 20% cumulative recurrence rate at 2 years; however, despite 27% of patients having heritable thrombophilic defects, testing does not allow prediction of a high risk of recurrence. Third, patients with non-surgical triggers for a first VTE event have a significantly lower risk of recurrence (8%) compared with patients who do not have identifiable triggers (20%). Again, testing for heritable thrombophilic defects in these patients does not aid prediction of recurrence.

A low risk of recurrence in patients who have postoperative VTE has previously been reported, however, our study prospectively assessed this hypothesis as a primary outcome measure in conjunction with thrombophilia testing. This finding suggests that these patients can be reassured that after a finite period of
anticoagulation and use of compression stockings that their risk of another VTE is very low.

That patients with unprecipitated VTE have a high risk of recurrence has been reported.15 Debate continues about the use of testing for evidence of thrombophilia;14 however, as results of more studies are reported, the value of such testing becomes less likely.16 In our large prospective cohort, we have shown that no correlation exists between abnormal laboratory results and the incidence of VTE recurrence. Furthermore, results of several recent studies lend support to the argument against testing for thrombophilia.16,17 Our findings provide strong justification for not screening for thrombophilic defects in unselected patients who have had a first episode of unprecipitated VTE. However, that testing of patients from thrombosis-prone families is warranted might be argued. If such testing is done, a clinician should have a clear idea before the tests are done about how to act on the results, and he or she should use an objective assessment of what constitutes a significant family history.18

In this study, we have reported the frequency of recurrent VTE in patients from a population of about 200000. All thrombotic events were objectively diagnosed and we noted recurrence only after independent validation by a clinician who did not know the thrombophilia status of the patient. Patients were not selected on the basis of family history of thrombosis, but rather from a cohort of unselected patients from those with malignant disease or antiphospholipid syndrome had been excluded. This cohort is, therefore, likely to be representative of patients presenting with VTE to other hospitals for whom the optimum duration of anticoagulation is uncertain. The generalisability of our results is also lent support by the distribution of venous thromboembolic events at presentation and the overall cumulative recurrence rate of 11% at 2 years, which concurs with other reports.19,20

The high rate of testing and the incidence of thrombophilic defects also suggests that this cohort is typical of an unselected patient group. The frequency of the factor V Leiden and F20201A gene mutations was 15.8% and 4.2%, respectively, which is the same as that reported for patients in a case-control study in the same geographical location (15.1% and 5.0%, respectively).21 The incidence of confirmed low concentrations of antithrombin, protein C, and protein S in an unselected patient population is also as expected.22 The recurrence rate in patients with deficiency of a natural anticoagulant was 17.5% (7 of 40) but this result is not statistically different to the overall recurrence rate of 11%. If there is an increased risk of recurrence in these patients, the increase seems to be small and most patients do not have a recurrence.

Our results provide objective evidence that in unselected patients presenting with a first episode of venous thromboembolism, testing for heritable thrombophilia does not allow prediction of a new event during the highest risk period—that is, the first 2 years after anticoagulant therapy is stopped. This study also emphasises the predictive value of clinical risk factors. Testing might yet prove to be informative in patients from families with a strong predisposition to thrombosis; however, such testing is not useful in most patients.
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